Magnetoencephalographic Patterns of Epileptiform Activity in Children With Regressive Autism Spectrum Disorders
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ABSTRACT. Background. One-third of children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are reported to have had normal early development followed by an autistic regression between the ages of 2 and 3 years. This clinical profile partly parallels that seen in Landau-Kleffner syndrome (LKS), an acquired language disorder (aphasia) believed to be caused by epileptiform activity. Given the additional observation that one-third of autistic children experience one or more seizures by adolescence, epileptiform activity may play a causal role in some cases of autism.

Objective. To compare and contrast patterns of epileptiform activity in children with autistic regressions versus classic LKS to determine if there is neurobiological overlap between these conditions. It was hypothesized that many children with regressive ASDs would show epileptiform activity in a multifocal pattern that includes the same brain regions implicated in LKS.

Design. Magnetoencephalography (MEG), a noninvasive method for identifying zones of abnormal brain electrophysiology, was used to evaluate patterns of epileptiform activity during stage III sleep in 6 children with classic LKS and 50 children with regressive ASDs with onset between 20 and 36 months of age (16 with autism and 34 with pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified). Whereas 5 of the 6 children with LKS had been previously diagnosed with complex partial seizures, a clinical seizure disorder had been diagnosed for only 15 of the 50 ASD children. However, all the children in this study had been reported to occasionally demonstrate unusual behaviors (eg, rapid blinking, holding of the hands to the ears, unprovoked crying episodes, and/or brief staring spells) which, if exhibited by a normal child, might be interpreted as indicative of a subclinical epileptiform condition. MEG data were compared with simultaneously recorded electroencephalography (EEG) data, and with data from previous 1-hour and/or 24-hour clinical EEG, when available. Multiple-dipole, spatiotemporal modeling was used to identify sites of origin and propagation for epileptiform transients.

Results. The MEG of all children with LKS showed primary or secondary epileptiform involvement of the left intra/perisylvian region, with all but 1 child showing additional involvement of the right sylvian region. In all cases of LKS, independent epileptiform activity beyond the sylvian region was absent, although propagation of activity to frontal or parietal regions was seen occasionally. MEG identified epileptiform activity in 41 of the 50 (82%) children with ASDs. In contrast, simultaneous EEG revealed epileptiform activity in only 68%. When epileptiform activity was present in the ASDs, the same intra/perisylvian regions seen to be epileptiform in LKS were active in 85% of the cases. Whereas primary activity outside of the sylvian regions was not seen for any of the children with LKS, 75% of the ASD children with epileptiform activity demonstrated additional nonsylvian zones of independent epileptiform activity. Despite the multifocal nature of the epileptiform activity in the ASDs, neurosurgical intervention aimed at control has lead to a reduction of autistic features and improvement in language skills in 12 of 18 cases.

Conclusions. This study demonstrates that there is a subset of children with ASDs who demonstrate clinically relevant epileptiform activity during slow-wave sleep, and that this activity may be present even in the absence of a clinical seizure disorder. MEG showed significantly greater sensitivity to this epileptiform activity than simultaneous EEG, 1-hour clinical EEG, and 24-hour clinical EEG. The multifocal epileptiform pattern identified...
More than 400,000 persons in the United States suffer from autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) (ie, autism, pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified [PDD-NOS], Asperger’s syndrome, Rett’s syndrome, and disintegrative disorder). Whereas autism was once considered to be a rare condition, it is estimated that the incidence of autistic features may be as high as 1 in 1000 children when the broader spectrum disorders are considered. Autism is characterized by developmental delay, impaired reciprocal social interactions, prevalence of bizarre repetitive behaviors, sensory defensiveness, poor language skills, and anomalous cognitive abilities.

To date, most medical research on autism has focused on specification of its neurobiological correlates and elucidation of contributory genetic, infectious, biochemical, and immunologic factors, and much progress has been made in each of these areas. Whereas autism was once considered to be of psychogenic origin, it is now widely accepted to be a neurobiological condition. Yet, despite elucidations of several interesting correlates of the condition, including neuroimaging and pathology evidence of cerebellar and hippocampal abnormalities, the linkage between these findings and specific symptoms is sketchy and these observations have yet to pave the way toward the development of truly effective medical interventions.

A key observation in support of the neurobiological nature of ASDs has been the high incidence of epilepsy in the autistic population. It is well documented that almost 30% of persons with autism will experience one or more epileptic seizures by adolescence. All types of seizures have been reported in autism. Complex-partial seizures with associated centro-temporal spikes are most common, although other spike patterns have also been noted. It is noteworthy that autism is associated with a wide range of distinct neurobiological and genetic conditions, including infantile spasms, tuberous sclerosis, fragile-X, Angelman’s syndrome, and untreated phenylketonuria, and an interesting common thread among these conditions is a high incidence of epilepsy.

Despite the frequent association between autism and a seizure disorder, epilepsy is typically missed as a secondary finding rather than a cardinal characteristic of the condition, especially because clinical seizures are typically not apparent until many years after the onset of autistic features. However, observations of another clinical condition—Landau-Kleffner syndrome (LKS)—suggest that subclinical epileptiform activity may be playing a greater role in the ASDs than previously suspected. LKS is a condition of an acquired developmental aphasia and auditory agnosia. Children with LKS develop normally, but at some time after age 3, there is a rapid loss of receptive and expressive language skills. A defining feature of LKS is that the electroencephalography (EEG) during stage III sleep shows a nearly continuous spike-wave epileptiform pattern, all in the absence of outward signs of a clinical seizure. In fact, only 70% of children with LKS are reported to have had clear clinical seizures at any time. Awake EEGs are often within normal limits, or they show only diffuse slowing and/or intertent isolated epileptiform events. The available data are typically interpreted as indicating that it is the sleep epileptiform activity that compromises awake language skills in LKS.

An important parallel between LKS and autism is that nearly one-third of children diagnosed with ASDs are reported to have shown normal development up to 24 to 30 months of age at which time there was a developmental regression or plateau coupled with the onset of autistic behaviors. However, there is a critical difference with respect to the extent of cognitive deficits. In classic LKS, cognitive deficits are language domain specific, whereas in the ASDs, multiple domains of cognitive and social functioning are compromised.

Estimates of subclinical epileptiform abnormalities in the EEGs of children with ASDs range from 13% to 83% of cases studied. This observation, coupled with 1) the clinical parallels between LKS and regressive autism and 2) the LKS observation that nocturnal epileptiform activity can have a significant impact on daytime cognitive functioning, indicates the need for a reevaluation of the role of epileptiform activity in autism. As is the case for LKS, an important factor in the identification of epileptiform activity in autism is recordings during slow-wave sleep. For example, one study found the incidence of epileptiform activity in ASDs to be 27% when reviewing 1-hour routine EEGs, but it was almost 50% when prolonged overnight EEGs were reviewed.

In considering the role of epileptiform activity in LKS and regressive ASDs, it would be useful to know the extent to which similar brain regions are implicated in the two conditions. In the study reported herein, it was hypothesized that children with regressive ASDs would show a high incidence of epileptiform activity with involvement of the same brain regions implicated in LKS (to account for language symptoms) plus involvement of additional brain regions (to account for ASD features in other domains). To address this hypothesis, methodology beyond conventional EEG monitoring was required. Epileptiform activity in LKS and autism is typically reported to be maximal in the EEG at central and/or
temporal scalp electrodes, but more detailed localization of the neuronal sources of this activity is compromised by the variable geometry and electrical conductivity profiles of the brain, cerebrospinal fluid, skull, and scalp. The innovative technique of magnetic source imaging (MSI) offers an attractive alternative to conventional EEG for the purpose of defining the brain zones of origin of epileptiform activity. MSI involves the combination of functional data from magnetoencephalography (MEG) with structural data from magnetic resonance imaging.19–21

All time-varying electric currents, including those in neurons, produce a surrounding time-varying

Fig 1. Neuronal currents within the dendrites of pyramidal cells give rise to a surrounding neuromagnetic field that can be measured using a biomagnetometer that contains superconducting wires coupled to superconducting quantum interference devices. The pictured unit has 122 sensors. The output of each sensor is a time-varying waveform showing how the magnetic field fluctuates. Epileptiform events like the one highlighted in white can be seen in the raw magnetoencephalography MEG signals. The associated magnetic field pattern shows flux exiting (red) and entering (blue) the head. Mathematical models are used to localize the source of the activity and to plot the source on spatially aligned magnetic resonance data. The green dot shows the inferred site of origin for the highlighted epileptiform event with purple dots showing sources for other epileptiform events in the raw signal.
magnetic field. Neuromagnetic signals pass through the tissues of the body with minimal distortion, and because of biophysical properties, neuromagnetic signals recorded outside of the head selectively reflect intracellular dendritic currents. Consequently, by relatively straightforward mathematical modeling of the spatiotemporal pattern of magnetic activity associated with epileptiform events, it is possible to infer the locations in the brain where epileptiform activity originates, and to define patterns of propagation for the activity. The basic principles of MSI are outlined in Fig 1.

METHODS

Study Participants

Six children in this study had been diagnosed with classic LKS (age range, 5–11 years). As part of the defining diagnostic criteria, all had histories of an acquired aphasia with onset after 3 years of age. In each case, there was evidence of auditory agnosia, with speech and language evaluation performed a few months before the MEG study revealing limited expressive and receptive language functioning that was >2 years below chronologic age. Additional neuropsychologic testing revealed deficits to be specific to the language domain, and none of the LKS children had ever met Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria for an ASD. The final diagnostic criteria for LKS was an EEG revealing nearly continuous spike-wave activity during slow-wave sleep. Five of the 6 children with LKS had histories of rare partial complex seizures.

Fifty children in this study (age range, 4–13 years) had been diagnosed with a regressive ASD before the age of 6 years. Diagnosis had been made by a licensed psychiatrist, neurologist, or psychologist, using DSM-III or DSM-IV criteria (depending on the year when the diagnosis was made). Thirty-four children had been diagnosed with PDD-NOS, whereas 16 had been diagnosed with autism. In all cases, parental report indicated normal early development up to 18 to 30 months of age, at which time there was a regression of language, cognitive, and social skills, and the onset of autistic features. At the time of MEG study, all these children still met DSM criteria for an ASD, although for 20 of the cases, the possibility of an LKS variant had been raised by at least one clinician because language dysfunction was considerably more severe than deficits in social or other cognitive domains. Fifteen of the 50 children with regressive ASDs had been diagnosed with a clinical seizure disorder. It should be noted that all the children enrolled in this study had been reported to occasionally demonstrate unusual behavioral episodes including unprovoked blinking, crying, and/or holding of the hands to the ears. In a nonautistic child, these features would often be considered to be suggestive of a possible seizure disorder, but heretofore, most clinicians have considered these behaviors to be an inherent part of the autistic syndrome and not a separate indicator of an epileptiform condition.

Before study, all children had been sleep deprived, and all but 5 were also administered sedatives (chloral hydrate, amytal, or a mixture of demerol, phenergan, and thorazine supplemented with propofol) to promote stage III sleep.

Protocol

MEG data were collected using multiple placements of a 37-channel biomagnetometer system (Biomagnetic Technologies Inc, 408 MAGNETOEENCEPHALOGRAPHY IN CHILDREN WITH AN AUTISTIC EPILEPTIFORM REGRESSION
San Diego, CA) or more commonly (44 cases), using a whole-head, 122-channel system (Neuromag Ltd, Helsinki, Finland), as pictured in Fig 1. EEG data were collected simultaneous with the MEG using a specially designed electrode cap with a minimum of 19 contacts placed at the standard locations of the International 10–20 system. Forty minutes of sleep activity were recorded and analyzed in all cases. This included 15 minutes or more of slow-wave sleep in all but 6 children who failed to achieve deeper than stage II sleep. Data were collected with a band-pass of 1 to 100 Hz at a digitization rate of 300 Hz.

Data Analysis

The spontaneous electromagnetic data were visually inspected by a trained neurophysiologist, and characterized as within normal limits or as showing rare, intermittent, frequent, bursty, or continuous epileptiform discharges. When epileptiform discharges were identified, they were analyzed using a multiple-dipole, spatiotemporal source modeling algorithm (Neuromag Ltd, Helsinki, Finland). This algorithm provided the spatial location and activation time course for the set of dipole sources that

Fig 2. Data are from a 5-year-old boy with classic Landau-Kleffner syndrome. After normal initial development, the child stopped responding to commands at 2.5 years of age. By age 3, he had lost all expressive language except for a few words. Despite intensive behavioral and speech therapy, the child remained without language for the 2.5 years before study. Slow-wave sleep magnetoencephalography and electroencephalograph recordings showed nearly continuous spike-wave activity over the left hemisphere, with delayed (20 millisecond) spread to the right hemisphere. The upper panel shows 5 seconds of magnetoencephalograph data from 18 left temporal sensor locations. The magnetic field pattern associated with each of the 500+ spikes recorded during this examination was highly similar from event-to-event. Dipole modeling indicated >95% of the discharges to have unilateral origin in the upper bank of the left superior temporal gyrus, 1- to 2.5-cm deep into the sylvian plane. Yellow crosses on the spatially aligned magnetic resonance images show, for 40 representative left hemisphere epileptiform discharges, the location of the dipole source that best accounts for the initial magnetic field pattern. More than 80% of the events showed rapid (10 millisecond) propagation to the left lateral neocortical surface (including Wernicke’s area and the supramarginal gyrus). In addition, ~50% of the left hemisphere spikes were followed 20 milliseconds later by a right hemisphere spike. Sources (blue crosses) for this transcallosally-mediated activity localized to the right superior temporal gyrus.
best described each epileptiform discharge.\textsuperscript{19–21} The location of each dipole was taken as indicative of the location of the relevant neuronal population, and the point was marked on spatially aligned magnetic resonance images.

Sublobar characterization of the source pattern was then made relative to the following regions: P-sylvian (posterior peri- and intrasylvian regions, including the posterior aspect of the superior temporal gyrus, the intrasylvian opercular surface of the superior temporal gyrus, the supramarginal region, and the parietal operculum above the sylvian plane), temporal (all aspects of the temporal lobe except those included in P-sylvian), inf-frontal (the inferior frontal gyrus and opercular surface into the sylvian plane), M/S-frontal (the middle and superior frontal gyri), periorolandic (including pre- and postcentral gyri), parietal (all aspects of the parietal lobe except those included in P-sylvian and periorolandic), occipital (all aspects of the occipital lobe), and cingulate (all aspects of the cingulate gyrus). A region was considered epileptiform if, and only if, at least five separate spikes showed source locations in the region. Activity within a region was classified as independently generated (independent), a reflection of propagation from a heterotopic region within the same or opposite hemisphere (propagated-heterotopic), or a reflection of tran-scallosal propagation from the homotopic area in the other hemisphere (propagated-homotopic). Activity within a region was identified as propagated if epileptiform events arising from the region were consistently preceded by events in a different region, with a time differential of 10 to 40 milliseconds.

RESULTS

LKS

All 6 children with classic LKS demonstrated nearly continuous spike wave activity during slow-wave sleep on both MEG and simultaneous EEG. Table 1 summarizes the distribution of active areas as defined by MEG analyses. One child showed unilateral left hemisphere activity, whereas the other 5 showed bilateral epileptiform discharges. In 1 of these cases the activity was clearly independent in each hemisphere. In 2 cases the left hemisphere was significantly more active than the right and each right-sided spike was preceded by a left hemisphere spike. An example data set is shown in Fig 2. The other 2 cases showed the opposite pattern of right-sided dominance. In all cases, sources of primary spikes localized to posterior aspect of the upper bank (opercular surface) of the superior temporal gyrus, 1- to 3-cm deep into the sylvian plane, with additional primary (independent) and/or secondary (propagated) involvement of Wernicke’s area and the supramarginal gyrus. In 2 cases, additional propagation of activity was seen to Broca’s area. Finally, 1 case with predominantly right hemisphere intrasylvian activity also showed rare propagation of activity to the right superior parietal region. In the cases with just intra/perisylvian involvement, EEG activity was clearly predominant at centrottemporal leads. However, in the cases with propagation to frontal or parietal sites, the EEG activity was widespread and based on EEG alone, it was not possible to clearly distinguish propagated from primary activity.

ASDs

Twenty-eight of the 34 (82%) children with PDD-NOS showed epileptiform activity on the MEG, as did 13 of 16 (81%) children with autism. Thus, 41 of 50 children with regressive ASD had definite MEG epileptiform activity, although obvious clinical seizures had been previously reported for only 15 of the 50 children. Figure 3 summarizes the relationship between the MEG and previous EEG findings.

Of the 41 children with abnormal MEG, the simultaneously recorded EEG was abnormal in 34 and normal in 7. The 7 with normal simultaneous EEG included 5 patients with previous normal 24-hour EEG, plus 2 additional patients that had not had any previous EEG examinations. In each case, the dominant site of MEG activity was deep into the sylvian plane. This implies that MEG is more sensitive to intrasylvian activity than EEG, presumably because of the spatial orientation of the relevant neuronal currents (see “Discussion”).

Activity profiles for the 41 children with epileptiform MEG ranged from rare to continuous. Ten children showed only rare epileptiform activity (<1 spike/5 minutes of slow-wave sleep), 11 children showed intermittent activity (1 spike/minute), 15 showed frequent spiking (>2 spikes/minute), and 4 children showed rare 5 to 10 second bursts of spikes. One child meeting criteria for PDD-NOS showed a nearly continuous spike-wave activity profile that was almost identical with that seen in classic LKS. This child showed mild to moderate autistic features and developmental delay starting at 18 months of age. An EEG at age 3 years showed frequent left centrotemporal epileptiform discharges, and was interpreted as indicative of concomitant atypical benign rolandic epilepsy (BRE). A significant language regression commenced at 4.5 years and a follow-up EEG again showed centrotemporal spiking, although this time the activity was nearly continuous. This EEG was interpreted as indicative of LKS, although the presence of significant autistic features in this child is inconsistent with a strict diagnosis of LKS. Si-
multaneous EEG and MEG examination was performed at 6 years of age. This showed nearly continuous left centrotemporal discharges in slow-wave sleep, with 10% of the spikes showing propagation to the right hemisphere. There were also rare independent right hemisphere discharges. Source modeling showed the left centrotemporal activity to have its origins in posterior perisylvian regions (as is seen in classic LKS), and not in the rolandic area, as is seen in BRE (Fig 4). The propagated right hemisphere activity localized to the right superior temporal plane, as did the independent right hemisphere activity.

Figure 5 shows data from a 13-year-old autistic male with an intermittent burst profile. For this patient, most of the ongoing background MEG/EEG activity was essentially normal, but this was disrupted by occasional bursts of epileptic spikes. In this case, the primary event localized to the right superior temporal plane, with subsequent propagation to the left hemisphere.

In addition to clearly epileptiform activity, many children with ASDs (n = 29) showed intermittent focal bursts of sharp rhythmic activity (between 8–13 Hz). Sources for this activity colocalized with sources for spikes, and it is presently believed that this activity is epileptiform and represents a burst of rapid spikes with rapid local propagation.

When epileptiform activity was present, intra/perisylvian regions identical with those found for LKS were most commonly implicated as a primary or secondary (propagated) site of activity (35 out of
41 cases). However, there were a few children ($n = 6$) that did not show any involvement of the sylvian regions (Fig 6). It is noteworthy that in LKS, the primary independent epileptiform activity was typically unifocal (5 of 6 cases) and restricted to the sylvian region, whereas in the ASDs, epileptiform activity was typically multifocal (31 of 41 cases; Fig 7) with active zones beyond the sylvian region. Table 2 summarizes the activity profiles for the children with ASDs.
DISCUSSION

Rate of Epileptiform Activity in ASDs

The present study indicates a very high (82%) prevalence of sleep epileptiform activity in the described subpopulation of children with ASDs. In considering the significance of this finding, it is critical to remember that this study focused on a subset of ASD patients with a clear history of regression in language, cognition, and social skills after a period of normal development. The incidence and significance of MEG epileptiform activity in more classic early infantile autism remains to be determined, although in a preliminary (and as yet unpublished) MEG study of 25 children with early-onset (before 18 months) ASDs has revealed sleep epileptiform activity in 70%, with multifocal patterns similar to those seen for ASD children with regression. Even when considering just the select population with a history of regression, the MEG observation of epileptiform abnormalities in 82% of the children is surprisingly high when evaluated within the context of previous EEG studies. For example, Tuchman and Rapin reviewed sleep EEG records from 130 unselected children with regressive ASDs, but only 25% of their study population showed epileptiform activity.

Several factors likely contribute to the higher incidence of epileptiform findings in the present study. One factor is a selection bias in the present study. Thirty-five of the 50 ASD patients in this study had been referred by clinicians who were explicitly suspicious that their patient(s) had some epileptiform activity. Although clear clinical seizures had been observed in only 15 of the children, all were reported to have had episodes of sudden crying, holding of the ears, and unusual blinking patterns—paroxysmal behaviors that, for a normal child, would be considered as suggestive of an underlying epileptiform condition.

Another factor may have been that most children in this study had been sedated with amitriptyline or demerol, phenergan, and thorazine—medications that have been reported to promote slow-wave sleep and perhaps activate interictal discharges. The possibility for sedative-induced, false-positive readings is therefore present. However, the 24-hour EEG data alone (in the absence of sedation) still suggested a high prevalence of epileptiform activity for the study group.

Unfortunately, we have not been able to evaluate normal control children using the sedative agents used in this study. However, we have collected and examined MEG data from 8 nonautistic children sedated with the same agents. Each of these children had a clear seizure disorder and definitive lesion on magnetic resonance imaging (2 with tumors, 1 with an arteriovenous malformation [AVM], and 5 with cortical dysplasia). One of these children showed no interictal activity, 6 showed focal epileptiform activity only at the lesion site and without propagation to other zones, and only 1 child showed activity beyond the lesion zone. This last child had a parietal AVM and showed activity at the AVM site and also in the
A final factor contributing to the high incidence of epileptiform abnormalities reported here is increased sensitivity of MEG versus EEG in detecting epileptiform activity in this population of children. A total of 29 children had 1-hour and 24-hour EEG evaluations before study with MEG. For these 29 children, 45% had epileptiform activity on 1-hour EEG, 72% showed epileptiform abnormalities on 24-hour EEG, and 90% showed epileptiform abnormalities on MEG. A review of the data indicates that the critical difference between the 1-hour and 24-hour EEG examinations was the recording of activity during slow-wave sleep for the 24-hour EEG. There were 5 ASD cases with a normal 24-hour EEG examination but abnormal MEG. It is noteworthy that for each of these children, the EEG obtained simultaneously with the MEG was also considered normal. Spike activity was clear on MEG, but the simultaneous EEG revealed only low voltage transients that were not obviously epileptiform. In each case, source modeling showed the MEG spikes to have origins in the upper bank (opercular surface) of the superior temporal gyrus, deep into the sylvian fissure, with propagation of activity out to the lateral neocortical surface of the temporal lobe lacking. The apical dendrites of pyramidal cells aligning the upper bank of the superior temporal gyrus have a tangential orientation relative to the skull. The biophysics of electromagnetic signals are such that current flow in these dendrites generates a significant magnetic signal, but only a very weak electric potential gradient at the scalp surface. Overall, the MEG was significantly more sensitive to epileptiform pathology than either 1-hour ($P < .01$) or 24-hour ($P < .05$) EEG.

### Distribution of Epileptiform Activity

For all the children with classic LKS, the zone of primary epileptiform involvement was the posterior perisylvian region. In some cases the primary zone was in the left hemisphere, whereas in others it was in the right hemisphere, but in all cases there was clear disruption of the left hemisphere either directly or via transcallosal propagation. This profile of left sylvian involvement in LKS has been reported in several other studies, and this area is known to be a critical aspect of the brain’s circuitry for language.

As originally hypothesized, epileptiform activity in the ASDs went beyond that seen in classic LKS. The data suggest that ASD children with epileptiform abnormalities have LKS-like perisylvian activity (which is hypothesized to be associated with the language deficits in autism), plus activity in other regions (which is hypothesized to relate to other

---

**TABLE 2.** Regressive Autism Spectrum Disorders, Variable Epileptiform Activity in 41 out of 50 Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Independent Activity</th>
<th>Propagated</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># (E%)</td>
<td>T%</td>
<td>Heteropic</td>
<td>Homotopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either perisylvian</td>
<td>35 (85%)</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>29 (71%)</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right perisylvian</td>
<td>24 (56%)</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either temporal</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left temporal</td>
<td>4 (07%)</td>
<td>06%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right temporal</td>
<td>5 (12%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either inferior frontal</td>
<td>23 (56%)</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left inferior frontal</td>
<td>11 (27%)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>18 (43%)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either M/S frontal</td>
<td>9 (22%)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left M/S frontal</td>
<td>5 (12%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right M/S frontal</td>
<td>6 (15%)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Rolandic</td>
<td>14 (34%)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Rolandic</td>
<td>10 (24%)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Rolandic</td>
<td>9 (22%)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Parietal</td>
<td>16 (39%)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Parietal</td>
<td>9 (22%)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Parietal</td>
<td>9 (22%)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Occipital</td>
<td>5 (12%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Occipital</td>
<td>2 (04%)</td>
<td>04%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Occipital</td>
<td>4 (07%)</td>
<td>08%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Cingulate</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>08%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Cingulate</td>
<td>3 (08%)</td>
<td>06%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Cingulate</td>
<td>1 (03%)</td>
<td>02%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table shows the number of ASD children with epileptiform activity in the identified region (see “Methods”). Percentages (%) are based on the subpopulation of ASD children with epileptiform activity (E%, $N = 41$) and the total number of ASD children in the study (T%, $N = 50$). A region was considered epileptiform if, and only if, at least 5 separate spikes showed source locations in the region. Activity within a region was classified as independently generated (Independent), a reflection of propagation from a heterotopic region within the same or opposite hemisphere (Propagated-heterotopic), or a reflection of transcallosal propagation from the homotopic area in the other hemisphere (Propagated-homotopic). Activity within a region was identified as propagated if epileptiform events arising from the region were consistently preceded by events in a different region, with a time differential of 10 to 40 milliseconds.

Abbreviation: M/S, middle and superior frontal gyri.
autistic features). Somewhat unexpectedly, no significant differences were seen in the patterns for PDD-NOS versus autism per se, although the present sample size (especially for the autistic group) may have been too small to reveal such differences, especially given the general complexity of the epileptiform patterns in the ASDs. Studies are presently underway to correlate the distribution of abnormal activity with specific cognitive and behavioral features of autism.

Preliminary analyses suggest an interesting association between frontal lobe findings (especially of the right) with hyperactivity, and parietal lobe findings (especially on the left) with apraxia. The full extent to which the identified patterns of activity are specific to autism versus the full cadre of other developmental conditions remains to be determined, but with respect to at least some other developmental conditions, specificity seems to be high.

### TABLE 3. Surgical Profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Seizures</th>
<th>Steroids</th>
<th>MEG</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Months F-U</th>
<th>Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Autism/PDD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Left perirolandic, Right perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right perisylvian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Major: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.5 years CARS-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right parietal</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Left frontal</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.5 years CARS-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right perisylvian</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal, Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Major: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Autism/PDD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right parietal</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right parietal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Major: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Left diffuse activity, Right perisylvian</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal, Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Right perisylvian, Right temporal</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Left perisylvian, Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal, Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;1.0 years CARS-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not tried</td>
<td>Left temporal, Left inferior frontal, Left frontal</td>
<td>Left temporal, Left inferior frontal + SMA</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;1.5 years CARS-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Left perioralndic, Right parietal</td>
<td>Left perioralndic, Right perioralndic</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, A, EC, B PPVT not available CARS-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not tried</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal, Right occipital</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal, Left occipital</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Moderate: RL, A, EC PPVT not available CARS-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Left perioralndic, Left parietal</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Major: RL, EL, A, EC, B PPVT &gt;2.0 years CARS-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Right frontal, Left frontal</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mild: RL, EL, A, EC, B still having seizures PPVT not available CARS-4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, in BRE, our group and others have shown dipole sources to localize exclusively to the mid- and inferior perisylvian cortex, without perisylvian involvement (Fig 4). The associated current vectors for the dipoles in BRE are oriented in a horizontal (anterior-posterior) manner, perpendicular to the central sulcus. This is in marked contrast to what is seen for the perisylvian dipoles of both LKS and the ASDs where the current vector is oriented in a vertical (superior-inferior) manner, perpendicular to the sylvian plane. Seven of the ASD children showed evidence of BRE-like dipoles in the inferior precentral region, but in only 1 case was this the dominant site of activity and in all cases there was additional independent perisylvian activity.

In considering the implications of epileptiform activity in the ASDs, it must be noted that the relative import of frequent versus rare activity in a region is uncertain. It has been hypothesized by Morrell and colleagues that the mechanism via which epileptiform activity disrupts cognitive functioning in LKS (and putatively in the ASDs) is through disruption of the normal refinement and pruning of synaptic connections. There is a natural tendency to believe that frequent activity should be more disruptive than rare activity, but this is not necessarily the case. There may be a threshold phenomena in which a few epileptiform events are sufficient to disrupt the formation of correct neural networks, and it makes little difference if the total number of events exceeds this.

### Treatment Strategies

Observations that LKS is amenable to some medical interventions raises some hope that amelioration of epileptiform activity in children with ASDs might lead to improved language skills and a reduction in autistic features. During the last 2 decades, three major therapeutic strategies have been developed for children with LKS. The first strategy involves administration of an antiepileptic drug, typically a valproate. Depakote and depakene are generally effective. The second therapeutic strategy for LKS involves administration of daily high dosages of steroids (1–3 mg/kg/d) or weekly pulsed-doses (8–10 mg/kg/wk). Several studies show that steroid therapy is highly effective in providing some degree of control over nocturnal epileptiform abnormalities. A second therapeutic strategy for LKS involves administration of high dosages of steroids (1–3 mg/kg/d) or weekly pulsed-doses (8–10 mg/kg/wk). Several studies show that steroid therapy is highly effective in providing some degree of control over nocturnal epileptiform abnormalities.

**TABLE 3.** Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Seizures</th>
<th>Steroids</th>
<th>MEG</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Months F-U</th>
<th>Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left temporal</td>
<td>Left temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Right perirolandic</td>
<td>Right perirolandic</td>
<td>Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>Right inferior frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left inferior frontal</td>
<td>Right frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Left perisylvian</td>
<td>Right inferior frontal</td>
<td>Right inferior frontal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table provides information on each child who underwent surgery. Data include patient number, sex, age, diagnosis, presence of clinical seizures, extent of cognitive improvement with high-dose steroid therapy, location of MEG spikes, region of surgical intervention, months postsurgery at time of follow-up, and the general level of postsurgical improvement with an explicit listing of improved domains (RL, receptive language; EL, expressive language; A, attention; EC, eye contact; B, behavior and social functioning). When available, data are provided on the extent of postsurgical improvement in receptive language performance as assessed via the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT). Postsurgical improvement on the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) is provided also.

* Indicates that a second surgical procedure was performed because following initial improvements after the first procedure, there was a regression of skills and reemergence of epileptiform activity. Follow-up data are provided relative to the second surgery.

For example, in BRE, our group and others have shown dipole sources to localize exclusively to the mid- and inferior perisylvian cortex, without perisylvian involvement (Fig 4). The associated current vectors for the dipoles in BRE are oriented in a horizontal (anterior-posterior) manner, perpendicular to the central sulcus. This is in marked contrast to what is seen for the perisylvian dipoles of both LKS and the ASDs where the current vector is oriented in a vertical (superior-inferior) manner, perpendicular to the sylvian plane. Seven of the ASD children showed evidence of BRE-like dipoles in the inferior precentral region, but in only 1 case was this the dominant site of activity and in all cases there was additional independent perisylvian activity.

In considering the implications of epileptiform activity in the ASDs, it must be noted that the relative import of frequent versus rare activity in a region is uncertain. It has been hypothesized by Morrell and colleagues that the mechanism via which epileptiform activity disrupts cognitive functioning in LKS (and putatively in the ASDs) is through disruption of the normal refinement and pruning of synaptic connections. There is a natural tendency to believe that frequent activity should be more disruptive than rare activity, but this is not necessarily the case. There may be a threshold phenomena in which a few epileptiform events are sufficient to disrupt the formation of correct neural networks, and it makes little difference if the total number of events exceeds this.

**Treatment Strategies**

Observations that LKS is amenable to some medical interventions raises some hope that amelioration of epileptiform activity in children with ASDs might lead to improved language skills and a reduction in autistic features. During the last 2 decades, three major therapeutic strategies have been developed for children with LKS. The first strategy involves administration of an antiepileptic drug, typically a valproate. Depakote and depakene are generally effective at controlling clinical seizures in LKS, and they may lead to improvements in receptive language. However, their administration rarely leads to significant improvements in expressive language, presumably because these medications often fail to completely eliminate nocturnal epileptiform abnormalities. A second therapeutic strategy for LKS involves administration of daily high dosages of steroids (1–3 mg/kg/d) or weekly pulsed-doses (8–10 mg/kg/wk). Several studies show that steroid therapy is highly effective in providing some degree of recovery of expressive and receptive language skills in more than 50% of children with LKS, but once steroids are discontinued (as dictated by safety...
concerns—steroids have many side-effects including significant weight gain and hypertension), language skills often regress. The third therapeutic strategy for LKS involves multiple subpial transections (MST) and small resections in the area of epileptic brain tissue. The multiple subpial transection technique is designed to disrupt the transverse fiber system of the cortex while leaving intact the vertical columnar organization of the cortex. The notion behind the procedure is that elimination of transverse connections disrupts the ability of adjacent cortical columns to synchronize in the generation of an epileptiform spike, but it leaves the dominant functional columnar organization of the cortex relatively unperturbed. Available data indicate that, for >50% of children with a diagnosis of classic LKS, surgical intervention results in excellent outcomes, including achievement of age-appropriate language. All 6 children reported in this study have undergone MST surgery. Three of these children now demonstrate age-appropriate language. Two other children have shown significant improvements in receptive and expressive language (although both continue to receive speech and language therapy). Only 1 child has not shown significant postsurgical improvement. This is the child who had shown bilateral and independent left and right hemisphere perisylvian activity. The left hemisphere abnormalities were considerably more severe, and MST surgery was performed only in the left hemisphere. Whereas follow-up EEG indicates that the left hemisphere is no longer epileptiform, there are persistent right hemisphere abnormalities.

Case reports and anecdotal data from several laboratories suggest that treatment of the ASDs with anticonvulsant medication, high dose steroid therapy, or even neurosurgery can lead to improvements in language and autistic behaviors. In the past 2 years, 18 of the ASD children from this MEG study have undergone neurosurgical intervention involving multiple subpial transections with or without small topectomies (<1cm) or gyrectomy (in 2 cases). The basics of the surgical procedures are described by Patil and Andrews. Surgical profiles and results are summarized in Table 3.

In each case, the objective of surgery was to control the epileptiform activity, and neurosurgery was considered only for a child whose cognitive and social functioning had reached a plateau, despite intensive behavioral interventions and extensive anticonvulsant and psychopharmacologic manipulations. Seven of the children that underwent surgery had histories of rare clinical complex-partial seizures, and all had been reported to demonstrate frequent and unusual paroxysmal behaviors (crying, blinking, and ear holding) and occasional staring spells suggestive of subclinical seizures.

Surgery was always guided by extra- and intraoperative electrocorticography, MEG data being used partially to direct subdural grid placement and to indicate areas of likely activity. In all cases, corticography confirmed MEG identified zones as epileptogenic. In 6 cases, additional epileptogenic areas (not identified by either MEG or scalp EEG) were identified during extended intracranial monitoring. As mentioned previously, most cases showed multilobar and even bitemporal epileptiform activity. In these cases, surgical intervention was applied at multiple sites.

At present, only short-term (<2 years) follow-up data are available on the surgical cases, but as summarized below, preliminary data encourage the belief that neurosurgical intervention aimed at alleviating epileptiform activity can have a positive impact on the autistic features of some children.

By parental report, 4 children have shown dramatic postsurgical reductions in aberrant autistic behaviors and very major improvements in eye contact, receptive and expressive language skills, and behavior, including social functioning. In the first year after surgery, each of these children showed an increase in performance on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test—Revised of >2 years, and scores on the Childhood Autism Rating Scale decreased by an average of 8 points after surgery. It must be noted that, despite these impressive gains, these children are not now normal, epileptiform activity having robbed these children of years of unperturbed cognitive and social development. All the children still meet criteria for an ASD, but the postsurgical symptom profiles are much less severe than the presurgical profiles.

Eight children have shown moderate postsurgical benefits including reduced hyperactivity, improved eye contact, increased social interactions, and improved receptive language skills. Expressive language skills have been slower to develop than receptive language skills, but spontaneous speech is starting to emerge in all but 3 cases. Intermittent problems remain for some, but improvement on the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) has ranged from 4.0 to 6.5 points.

Six children have shown postsurgical improvement in receptive and expressive language and social interactions, but some self-stimulatory behaviors persist and improvement in other domains have been transient. In 4 of these cases, there were significant initial improvements that were followed by a regression at around 6 months after surgery. All these children had complicated multifocal patterns and all have shown some persistent epileptiform activity on follow-up EEG and MEG.

In considering the potential benefits of a surgical option, it is important to note that surgery is not performed in isolation. A strong postsurgical education plan that includes speech, language, occupational, and behavioral therapy is critical. Typically, the postsurgical plan for each child differed little from what was tried before surgery, but after elimination of the epileptiform activity, educational strategies that had been only marginally successful presurgery yielded a major positive impact after surgery.

At present there are insufficient data to indicate which children with ASDs and epileptiform abnormalities are the best surgical candidates, in that good outcomes have been seen even in some very complicated cases. The best outcomes have been in those children responsive to previous steroid therapy and with the focal epileptiform presentations involving only one or two zones in the temporal or frontal lobes, but a failure to respond to steroid and/or a
multilobar, bihemispheric pattern of epileptiform activity is not necessarily a contraindication to surgery.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, the data indicate that epileptiform abnormalities are found in a significant subpopulation of children with ASDs. Adequate electrophysiologic monitoring during slow-wave sleep is often requisite to identification of this activity, and such monitoring should be an integral part of the clinical work-up of a child with an ASD, especially if there is a history of regression. MEG and 24-hour EEG are significantly more sensitive than 1-hour EEG and they are the strategies of choice. When epileptiform activity is present, medical therapy designed at ameliorating the epileptiform activity may lead to an improvement in autistic features. The precise merits of specific interventions using traditional (anticonvulsant medications) or non-traditional strategies (high dose steroid therapy and neurosurgery) remain to be determined through controlled scientific trials involving blinded pre- and post-treatment assessment of behavioral, cognitive, and psychosocial features, but the available data clearly indicate that epileptiform activity should not be ignored in children with autistic features.
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